Achtung!

Dies ist eine Internet-Sonderausgabe des Aufsatzes
"An unusual account of the Miʿrāǧ"
von Jost Gippert (2013).

Sie sollte nicht zitiert werden. Zitate sind der Originalausgabe in
Ragagnin, Elisabetta / Wilkens, Jens (eds.),
Kutadgu Nom Bitig.
Festschrift für Jens Peter Laut zum 60. Geburtstag
(Veröffentlichungen der Societas Uralo-Altaica, 87),
Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz 2015, 163-182
zu entnehmen.

Attention!

This is a special internet edition of the article

'An unusual account of the Mi'rāǧ'

by Jost Gippert (2013).

It should not be quoted as such. For quotations, please refer to the original edition in Ragagnin, Elisabetta / Wilkens, Jens (eds.),

Kutadgu Nom Bitig.

Festschrift für Jens Peter Laut zum 60. Geburtstag

(Veröffentlichungen der Societas Uralo-Altaica, 87),

Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz 2015, 163-182.

Alle Rechte vorbehalten / All rights reserved: Jost Gippert, Frankfurt 2015

An unusual account of the Mi'rāğ

JOST GIPPERT (Frankfurt/Main)

When I published my first notice on a Maldivian copper plate grant containing a short account of Muhammad's fabulous journey to Jerusalem and his ascension to heaven, the so-called Mi'rāğ legend, in 2003, IENS Peter Laut drew my attention to the fact that he had just been supervising a comprehensive thesis on the Uyghur Mirāğnāme, a source that agrees with the Maldivian account in many a detail indeed.³ This is all the more remarkable in view of the geographical distance between the Maldive Islands and the Central Asian homeland of the Uyghurs; it can, however, be regarded as the result of a common background, given that both the Maldivians and the Uyghurs were converted to Islam from Buddhism, and the question as to what extent Buddhist thought may have survived in the peculiar design of the Uyghur legend has been raised with good reason.⁵ In the present paper, I intend to outline the state of knowledge on the Maldivian text that has been achieved during the past 10 years, with a view to make it accessible for comparative studies and thus to gain more insights into the text itself, which is still far from being clear in all its details.

1. The Maldivian lomāfānu grants

When the Maldives were converted to Islam in the middle of the 12th century AD,⁶ the islands looked back on a timespan of at least five centuries of literacy, witnessed to by a small set of Buddhist inscriptions on coral stone written either in Sanskrit or in a Prakrit⁷ that can be taken to be the ancestor of the Maldivian language (Divehi).⁸ In contrast to this,

SCHERBERGER 2003. My thanks are due to the author for providing me with a copy of the thesis.

¹ GIPPERT 2003, 31–47.

³ Cf. GIPPERT 2003, 40, n. 21 with further reference to Séguy 1977, 34.

⁴ Cf. GIPPERT 2003, passim as to the circumstances of the Islamisation of the Maldives.

⁵ Cf. Scherberger 2003, ch. V: "Religionswissenschaftlicher Ausblick", 123–8.

⁶ Cf. GIPPERT 2003, passim as to the dating of the Islamisation of the Maldives.

⁷ Cf. GIPPERT 2004, 81–109 and forthcoming.

⁸ Cf. GIPPERT 2003, 31 n. 2 as to the name of the language.

the oldest extant monuments written in Divehi proper are three copper plate grants issued by the Islamic king Gaganāditya 9 in the late 12^{th} century, with other grants of this type following up to the 15^{th} century; all these documents (named $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nu$, i.e. "great leaf of copper", in Divehi) 10 concern, as waqf decrees, the donation of land and other properties to newly-founded mosques. Both by their outer shape, which strongly resembles that of palm leaf pustakas (cf. Fig. 1), and by their style, which finds striking parallels in Buddhist copper plate decrees from Sri Lanka and Southern India, the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ exhibit clear traits of the pre-Islamic written tradition of the Maldives.

1.1 The dating of the oldest *lōmāfānus*

Of the three $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nu$ grants issued by king Gaganāditya, only one contains the short account on the Prophet's $Mi^{'}r\bar{a}g^{'}$ thematised here. Although the first plate of this $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nu$ (hereafter: L1) has been lost, it is clear from the dating contained on the recto of its second plate (plate "F" = "2", 11 cf. Fig. 2) that it is one of the two oldest documents of this type. The actual dating as appearing in the three grants is remarkable indeed, given that it is not based upon the Prophet's Higra as in most later Maldivian documents but on a twofold calculation, one consisting in an addition of the years of the individual kings of the ruling dynasty up to the act of decreeing, and one, in a reference to the Prophet's "attaining heaven". The bulk of information assembled in this way can be illustrated by aligning the introductions of the two complete $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ issued by Gaganāditya, which are about the foundation of mosques on the islands of Isdū (L2) and Dambidū (L3) in Haddummati atoll¹³; it is obvious that the text of L1, the object of which is the island of Gamu in the same part of the Maldives, must have contained

Cf. GIPPERT 2003, 34 n. 13 as to the king's name and its spelling.

Of a total of eight *lōmāfānus* that have been identified so far, only four have been available for investigation at the National Centre for Linguistic and Historical Research at Māle' during 1999 and 2002. For surveys and former treatises of *lōmāfānu* documents cf. Fritz 2002, 215–6; Bell 1940 and 1922–35. The two most voluminous *lōmāfānus* (L2 and L3) were edited by scholars from the Maldives and Sri Lanka; cf. Loamaafaanu: Transliteration, Translation and Notes on Palaeography 1982; and Maniku/Wijayawardhana 1986.

The plates are numbered with autochthonous digits applied on their verso; cf. Fig. 3 for pl. "F". Cf. GIPPERT 2013, as to details.

Esp. in decrees on paper and wood (so-called *fatkolus*, cf. FRITZ 2002, 217–8) and in inscriptions (tombstones etc., cf. ib., 219–23), which are attested since the 16th century AD.

¹³ Cf. GIPPERT 2003, 34 n. 10 as to the atoll name.

the same information on its lost first plate, given that its text joins that of the others within the formulaic series of Sanskrit epithets praising the grantor, Gaganāditya.¹⁴

1.1.1 The two complete $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ begin with the following enumeration of kings' reigns:¹⁵

svasti śrī somavamśa ādipati śrī tīmuge śrī mānābaraṇa mārasun Hail (to) His Majesty, the ruler of the Moon Dynasty, Mānābharaṇa, ¹⁶ the Mahārāja of the Tīmu (house),

puṇa dese reda vuṇadai ekavimśatī avurodun ikit v $\bar{\imath}$ kal after 25 years had passed since his having taken over the reign over all the land

reda obun side (and) when (his) reign had passed away,

mi rasunsia beni svasti śrī tribuvanaādītya mārasun this king's brother, hail (to) His Majesty Tribhuvanāditya the Mahārāja,

reda vuṇad^hai panṣatrimśati avurodun ikit vī kal after 35 years had passed since his having taken over the reign,

mi rasunsya mal svasti śrī buvanābarṇa mārasun this king's nephew (?), hail (to) His Majesty Bhuvanābharaṇa the Mahārāja,

reda vuṇadai nuvavana avurodun ikit vī kal after 9 years had passed since his having taken over the reign,

mi rasunasya beni svasti śrī darmmānānda nam mārasun this king's brother, hail (to) His Majesty Dharmānanda the Mahārāja,

The "common" text printed below represents a simplified transcription; a more fine-grained transliteration is currently being prepared in the frame of a comprehensive edition of Old Maldivian written documents. Bold characters are used to indicate the common wording of all three $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$. As to the rendering of Sanskrit and other foreign terms in Maldivian writing cf. GIPPERT 2004 [2005], 173–94, and GIPPERT in print, passim.

This and the following translations are meant to render the original wording as closely as possible, the left-branching syntax of Maldivian with deeply embedded participle and absolutive constructions causing a rather awkward style in right-branching English.

¹⁶ Cf. Monier-Williams 1899 [1951], 1331 for this Sanskrit compound appearing as the name of a king in "Inscr(iptions)"; the meaning might be something like "ornament of thought".

reda vuṇadai nuvavana avurodun ikit vī kal after 9 years had passed since his having taken over the reign,

reda ni vuṇu side (<<< L3)
(and) when (his) reign no longer existed,

mi rasunsya beni (L3: mal) svasti śrīmat this king's brother (L3: nephew), hail (to) His Majesty,

somabamsotdarana kanakārocana āsamlastamba ṣavālakṣadīparakṣana the uplifter of the Lunar Dynasty (Skt. somavamsoddharana), shining like gold (Skt. kanakarocana), an unmovable pillar (Skt. acalastambha), protector of all 100,000 islands (Skt. sarvalaksadvīparaksana),

 $batdracandarabib^hih\bar{a}vidy\bar{a}$ vinoda şakalakaml \bar{a} na anuragesvara

skilful in the recognition of prosperous moon-discs (Skt. bhadracandrabimbasaṃvidyāvinoda [?]), **with beautiful limbs** (Skt. śakalakalyāṇa), **a lord of affection** (Skt. anurāgeśvara),

ratunākara maṇimakuṭabandʰita śrīmat gagʰanādītya mārasun(L1: māras[)¹² a maker of pearls (Skt. ratnakara), braided with gem(s and) crown(s) (Skt. maṇimakuṭabandhita), His Majesty Gaganāditya the Mahārāja,

ekarādya vuṇadai (L3; om. L2; <<]ṇadana L1)¹⁷ after having become the sole king (L3; om. L2; being [?] L1) tinvana (L1, L2) / sataruvana (L3) avurodun ... in (his) third (L1, L3) / fourth (L3) year ...

1.1.2 This enumeration is by and large confirmed by the so-called $R\bar{a}davali$, an autochthonous chronicle compiled before the 18^{th} century, which exists in several copies. ¹⁸ The divergences between the $R\bar{a}davali$ and the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$, esp. in the name forms, can clearly be explained as resulting from errors in secondary transmission. The relevant passage of the $R\bar{a}davali$ text can be established as printed below; ¹⁹ note that the chronicle provides us with the pre-enthronisation names of the kings, beginning

¹⁷ Of the present plate ("F") of L1, about one third has been broken off so that appr. 16 of the average of 48 aksaras per line are missing.

¹⁸ Cf. Fritz 2002, 218 as to the three copies known so far (here styled A, B, C).

¹⁹ The text is established on the basis of C, the only copy that has been available for thorough inspection so far. C₂ denotes the repetition of information contained in p. 9 of C (cf. FRITZ 2002, 218). Note that the *Rādavaļi* has been preserved in both *Dives akuru* and *Tāna* scripts (cf. GIPPERT 2013, as to the interrelationship of the different scripts), which implies that in the transcripts, (*Dives akuru*) p and (*Tāna*) f are equivalents.

with the name of the founder of the dynasty, *keimalā* (v.ll. *keimalai*, *kēmalā*), which obviously represents Skt. *ketumāla* denoting a 'wearer of a garland of banner(s)' as a bahuvrīhi compound:²⁰

ekkala (ekkalē C, $< C_2$) tīmugē (+ ran B) keimalei (kēmalei C_2 , kēmalā C) kalōa' (kalege' A)

At this very time, Lord Keimala (+ the Golden B) of the Tīmu house,

suvastī sirī tīmuge (> A) rannapireru (ranfirēru C, $< AC_2$) mānābānna (A, miniabāruna C, bānābāruna C_2) mahāraduna ve'

was enthroned as – hail (to him)! – His Majesty Mānābharaṇa the Mahārāja, shining like gold (?), 21 of the Tīmu house;

mi kalā raskan kula aharu: 21 (20 B):

the reign of this lord (extended over) 21 (20) years.

mi radunāi (rasgeāi AC₂) (+ ebbaḍu A) ekkihun ufan henevi māvākiṇage (-kilage A, -kilege B) fut funei (donei BC₂, dovemi A) kalamunjā' (kalamijjā' AB)

(After him,) Prince Funei (Donei, Dovemi), son of Senāpati Māvākila, born from the same (A: belly and) womb as this king,

sirī buvanādīttā (-ātītta C) mahāraduna ve' (+:2:A)

was enthroned as His Majesty Bhuvanāditya the Mahārāja (+ no. 2);

mi kalā islān nu ve raskan kuļa hai duvahāi

all the days of the reign of this lord not being a Muslim and

islān dīnugai (dina' A; kamu C_2)²² raskan kuļa hai duvahāi (+ eku A) aharu : 25:²³

all the days of the reign of this lord in the Islamic faith (+ altogether) (sum up to) 25 years.

mi abāruṇa rasgeāi ekkihun nufan malei māvākiṇage fut mutei kalamunjān (After him,) Prince Mutei, son of Malei Māvākila, born from the same

²⁰ Cf. Monier-Williams 1899 [1951], 309 for a mythical person (son of Āgnīdhra) and a people bearing the name of *ketumāla*. The question whether the use of the compound for "one of the nine great divisions of the known world (the western portion or *varṣa* of *jambū-dvīpa*, called after *ketu-māla*)" (ib.) can be taken to indicate the provenance of the founder of the Maldivian dynasty requires further investigation.

The interpretation of *ran(na)pireru* as "shining like gold" is based upon the assumption that the word is a synonym of *kanakarocana*, one of the epithets of king Gaganāditya in the *lōmāfānus*, with *ran(na)pireru* representing a formation like Skt. *hiraṇya-prarocana* or *-prarocita* (*-purūruc* 'shining brightly' is less probable as this formation occurs only in Vedic texts).

End of the repetition contained in C_2 .

End of the transcripts of A and B in Bell 1940, 198-9.

womb as that (first) king (bearing a name with the element) -ābharaṇa ("ornament"), sirī buvanaabāruṇa mahāraduna ve' was enthroned as Bhuvanābharaṇa the Mahārāja; mi kalā raskan kuļa aharu: 19: the reign of this is lord (extended over) 19 years.

rekehiriā māvākiņage fut alī kalamunjān (After him,) Prince Alī, the son of Rakṣasūrya (?) Māvākila, sirī furanāda mahāraduna ve' was enthroned as Parānanda the Mahārāja; mi kalā raskan kuļa aharu: 9: the reign of this is lord (extended over) 9 years.

fatihiriā māvākiṇage fut donei kalamunjān
(After him,) Prince Donei, the son of Prāptasūrya (?) Māvākila, sirī gannādītta mahāraduna ve'
was enthroned as Gaganāditya the Mahārāja;
mi kalā raskan kuļa aharu: 7:
the reign of this is lord (extended over) 7 years.

As we can see, the only noteworthy difference between the $R\bar{a}davali$ text and that of the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ consists in the amount of years assigned to Mānābharaṇa = Keimalei (as the last Pre-Islamic king), (Tri)bhuvanāditya (as the king during whose reign the islands were Islamised) and his successor, Bhuvanābharaṇa, with the sum of the two latter reigns remaining the same (25 + 19 vs. 35 + 9); there can be no doubt that the information given in the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ is more reliable in this respect, given that they are near to contemporaneous.

Another difference consists in the fact that in the Rādavaļi, the enumeration of the kings is preceded by an explicit reference to the Prophet's Hiğra, the ascension of the throne by Keimalei being dated into the $536^{\rm th}$ year after it:

afurenge (apuremenge B, afunge C) kau muḥammad rasūl (nabīy BCC_2) fētānbarun (kalegepānu B, -nge C, kalōge C_2) hiǧrāin²⁴

_

Note that in version A, the word hiǧrāin is paraphrased by furaituru makkāin hubahiriā madīnāa' gima' voḍigat '(when he) deigned to go from excellent Makkā to sun-radiant Madīna', a formula found widespread in older Maldivian sources.

From the Hiğra of our Lord Muḥammad the Messenger (and) Prophet fāssatta (passateka B, fassatēka C, fāssatura C_2) satirīs (satrīsvana B, tirīsvana C) aharu (averudu C_2) ha mahun (+ ... A) in the five hundred and thirty-sixth (C: thirtieth) year (counted on from this), in the sixth month, ekkala (ekkalē $C_1 < C_2$)... at this very time...

Taking this dating seriously, we have to assume January, 1142 AD for Keimala's enthronisation. Adding the reigns as given in both the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ and the $R\bar{a}davali$, we arrive at a time span of 78 (25 + 35 + 9 + 9) or, at least, 73 (20 + 25 + 19 + 9) years that would have passed between this event and the enthronisation of King Gaganāditya; if these were lunar years, we should then have to assume that the latter took place in 1217 or 1212 AD and the acts of decree that are thematised in L1, L2 and L3, in 1220/21 or 1215/16 AD. However, these calculations do not agree with the secondary dating provided by the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nu$ documents.

1.1.3 Of the three copper plate grants, only L1 proceeds immediately after the common introduction with the dating according to the Prophet's "attaining heaven", while the two other $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nus$ first mention "preparatory" deeds of the Maldivian Mahārāja:²⁵

dhabuduvu matye (L3) pūrbbhe kāpuru rasun isdhuvu (L2) keruvī verumāna bide Having destroyed the monasteries built formerly by the infidel kings on Dambidū / Isdū (islands),

budu upurai

having uprooted the buddha (statue),

budāi mi budu vere ganna kulasagumāṇunāi mi emmen māle gen ais (L2) having taken the buddha (statue) and the members of the congregation that was hosted in this Buddhist monastery all together to Māle, $b^h uj\bar{a}i$ veraṭa mudala din tak māpansodāi rāja baṇḍāra aṭa balai gene (L2) having conspected and confiscated the Buddha (statue) and all the tributes that had been given as taxes to the monastery, for the royal government,

 $s\bar{a}d^hat$ nu $k\bar{i}$ $k^h\bar{a}$ purun maram evie vid \bar{a} rai (L2)

after declaring: 'I shall kill all infidels that do not proclaim confession', mahammadu petāmbarunge daruṣaṇa aṭa vadumā vī tak kāpurun nu marai (L2) (but) not killing all infidels that have declared 'we enter into the faith of Muḥammad the Prophet',

In the following transcript, the text of the two *lōmāfānus* is aligned with compliant passages printed in bold letters again.

kāpurun (L3) **ṣādat kiavai**

by causing the infidels to proclaim confession (and), sunnat kotu

by undertaking circumcision

petāmbarunge șeriā tibī alikun roda sipai (L3)

by keeping the fasts in the way indicated in the prophet's šarīʿa, namādu kotu (L3)

by committing the prayer(s and)

devatāinata aļukamu kranata (L3) vīdelai ...

ordering (them) to do a service to God ...

After these statements, L2 and L3 proceed to the dating according to the prophet's "attaining heaven", which is styled in quite the same way as in L1:

śrī mahammadu petāmbarun dunien (L2) / dunie vīdelai (L3) *svargga vaḍaigat* **After His Majesty Muḥammad the Prophet ascended to heaven** from earth / (while / after) ruling on earth,

pasuṣatt^h**a** baāsi (L1, L2) / teāsi (L3) avurodu $v\bar{i}$ side (L2) / avurodun (L3, L1 def.)²⁶ ...

when it was (L2) / in (L3) the five hundred eighty-second / -third year (after this) ...

After this lapidary notice, L2 and L3 continue with the deeds of Gaganāditya in connection with the mosques to be built, L2 resuming this "service" explicitly:

śrī gag^hanādītya mārasu devatāinaṭa krana aļukamak sintā koṭu (L2)

His Majesty Gaganāditya, considering a service to be done to God,

śrī isuduvu (L2) / dabudhuvu (L3) **veru vatye bala avasu** șobum **bimak pennerepānu obai**

by cleaning the ground ... and the paths leading to the water on the monastery ground of famous Isdū / Dambidū,

pāsāṇa kāṭṭa aneka sitt^hra karmmaen (L2) **masudid^hu karuvai**

by causing a mosque to be built in another wonderful act $...^{27}$

L1, however, deviates enormously from the common structure at the given position. First, it adds a few items that may be taken to indicate a more exact date, comprising the name of a lunar constellation, *mraggasīra*

²⁶ In L1, only the first akṣara of avurodu 'year' (Skt. saṃvatsara) has been preserved.

²⁷ The first two elements of the clause remain unclear.

nakṣattra, i.e. the lunar mansion (named) Mṛgaśira, 28 possibly with the day of the respective month being indicated by bārggava = Skt. bhārgava 'Friday' and *candra ekdi (?) netthi 'one day missing of (full) moon'. Then it seems to name a certain kehāļava as a helper (? karaṇadāti) and "another 1000 men (aneka cāṣra piri) selected and taken with him (gene ais balai) for his own help (timan esī)", before it starts with a lengthy report on God's creation of heaven and earth, which also includes the account of the mi'rāǧ legend but which yields no further dating (cf. below).

We are thus left with the 582 (lunar) years indicated in both L1 and L2 as having passed after Muhammad's "attaining heaven", which would yield AD 1196 if this means his death (in AH 632); if it means the prophet's mi rāğ (in AH 621), we arrive at AD 1185 instead. How, then, to cope with the difference as to the dating of Gaganāditya's reign implied in the Rādavaļi? Possibly, we have to assume that the higra dating that is associated with the enthronisation of Keimala/Mānābharaṇa in the chronicle, was rather meant to indicate the enthronisation of his successor, Tribhuvanāditya, under whom the islands were converted to Islam. This would roughly agree with the hiğra year 548, i.e. AD 1153, indicated for the latter event in another epigraphical source, the Gan filā fatkolu of 1652,29 as well as the so-called Tārih, an account of the history of the Islamised Maldives compiled in Arabic by a certain Ḥasan Tāǧ ud-dīn in the beginning of the 19th century.³⁰ According to the latter source, Tribhuvanāditya's (spelt بونا بونا) reign ended in AH 561 (~ AD 1165), followed by Bhuvanābharaṇa's (آدبيتّ برن), Dharmānanda's (دمرٌ ناج), in AH 580 ~ 1184), and Gaganāditya's (آبارن in AH 588 ~ AD 1192), 31 with the last date coming pretty close to the information given in the lomāfānus, even though the extent of the individual reigns still differs. In any way, we can exclude on this basis that the dating of the lomāfānus was reckoned according to the mi'rāğ as this would again enlarge the differences.

2. The miˈrāǧ and its embedding in L1

As stated above, the account of the Prophet's $mi'r\tilde{a}\check{g}$ is included in L1 in a lengthy report on God's creation of heaven and earth. To be more precise,

For the names of the lunar mansions and the days of the week in Maldivian cf. FRITZ/GIPPERT 2000, 139–52.

²⁹ Cf. GIPPERT 2003, 43–5 as to this source.

³⁰ Cf. the edition by YAJIMA 1982, 10, l. 18.

³¹ Cf. ib. 1984, 11, ll. 20, 22, and 25, and the Table displaying the "Mohammadan Dynasties of Maldive Islands" printed in App. C, p. 188.

the report is a re-narration of the most important legendary events extending from creation up to the destruction of the pagan idols at Madina, which is taken as an incentive or model for Gaganāditya's destroying all Buddhist monuments on the islands ruled by him. The narrative in L1 can easily be divided into three parts, the first thematising the creation of heaven and earth, the second, of men and former prophets, and the third, Muhammad's revelation, his ride to Jerusalem on the steed Burāq, the *mi'rāğ*, and lastly, the destruction of the "Arab triad" of idols, Al-Lāt, 'Uzzāt and Al-Manāt;³² all three parts are unparalleled in their verboseness in older Maldivian sources. Unfortunately, much of the first part remains unclear, due to the fact that one third of the plate containing it ("F" = "2") has been broken off and lost and the surface of the remaining part has been severely damaged; the general lines can be established with confidence, however.

2.1 The report on God's creation of heaven and earth

The report on God's creation begins on line 4 of the recto of plate "F" with the words ekadevatāinge rahimatun, followed before the breakage of the plate by the two syllables upe, which can be restored to either the absolutive, upede, or the past participle, upeduvī, of the verb meaning 'to create';33 together with the syntagm preceding it ('by mercy of the One God'), the participle seems to be preferrable if it was used as an attribute to a governing noun lost in the lacuna ('X created by mercy of the One God'). The next line begins with -t birun, obviously to be completed to devatāinata gat birun 'by fear of God', lit. 'by the fear gained towards God', a formula frequently appearing elsewhere;³⁴ what follows is likely to be about the emergence of water (pen ve 'having become water') and steam (kekunu dumu 'boiled vapour'?). Line 6 of plate "F" contains a sequence of six Arabic names of paradises, possibly introduced by *radis*- as the last element discernible in the line before; the items preserved (-ralu salāmu dāralu karālu dāralu damālu dāralu kuludu dannatalu adin dannatalu na-) obviously represent dar al-salam 'House of Peace', dar al-garar 'House of Steadfastness', dār al-ǧamāl 'House of Beauty', dār al-ḫuld 'House of Eternity', ğannat al-'adan 'Paradise of Eden' and ğannat al-na'īm 'Paradise of

³² Cf. the Qur'ān, Sur. 53.19–20; cf. the articles by FAHD "al-Lāt" and "Manāt", and by Macdonald/Nehmé "al-'Uzzā" in *Encyclopaedia of Islam, Second Edition*, Brill Online, 2013.

Both forms occur later on in the same source, on plates "D" (recto, 2 and verso, 4, resp.); cf. below.

Two times on pl. T (recto, 1, cf. Fig. 6); cf. below.

Happiness', most of which are attested in the Qur'ān. ³⁵ Of the verso of plate "F" (cf. Fig. 3), the first line describes the utmost heaven (utthara svargga loka, a Sanskritism) as a 'land made from gold, silver and jewels' (ran risi manikkatun sedunu ratu), while the second line remains obscure – it begins with 'made from' again (sedunu, with the preceding -n obviously representing the ablative ending) and continues with a list of 'name(s) received' (namu ladu) none of which has been identifiable so far.36 In contrast to this, the next line offers well interpretable text again: it begins with the names of three of the 'seven hells' (sat naraka-), with sairu, sakaru, and savvā obviously representing the Arabic names al-sa'īr 'flaming fire', sagar 'hell', and al-hāwiyat 'depth, nethermost hell', all of which are attested in the Qur'an, too. 37 The text continues with the creation of the sun (ādītya mulu koṭu ṣadān 'making [?] the sun first', lit. 'as the root') and the earth (prtthivi loka upaduv[ai]), and in the following two lines (4–5), it names first the Kawtar river (kautar eviana gagu svarggai, 'the river in heaven named Kawtar')³⁸ and then a series of countries of the Muslim world, comprising Syria (saur eviana ratu 'the country named Syria'), Iraq (erāk eviana raṭu), Babylon ~ Mesopotamia (bābhil evi[ana raṭu]), Persia (if -rīṣ in the beginning of line 5 is a remnant of *pāris ~ 'Fārs'), the Arabian peninsula (dadhīra ~ Arab. ǧazīra), the Panjab (sind), and India (jabuduv ~ 'Jambudvīpa'), 'all these countries' (mitak rat[u]).

2.2 The creation of men and former prophets

The last line of the damaged plate "F" introduces Adam as the first human vitalised ($pr\bar{a}na\ d\bar{\imath}$, 'giving breath')³⁹ and Eve as his derivate ($m\bar{\imath}n\ arddha$

Sur. 10.26; 40.42; 41.28; 9.72; 5.70; cf. LEAH KINBERG, "Paradise" in *Encyclopaedia of the Qur'ān*, Brill Online, 2013; W. RAVEN (personal communication of 19.5.2004) draws my attention to the Arabic *Kitāb al-'Azama* (cf. RAVEN 1993), which contains a similar list of names, here applied to the gates of the garden created by God on the right hand side of his throne.

Possibly, sākinā contained in the list reflects Arab. sākina 'tranquillity, peace', and adamut- appearing as the head noun of seduņu 'made' might represent Arab. 'azamūt 'power, majesty'.

Sur. 4.10; 54.48, 74; 101.9; cf. Christian Lange, "Hell (Jahannam, nār, saʿīr, saqar, Zaqqūm) in *Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE*. According to W. Raven (personal communication of 14.5.2004) the names also occur in the Arabic *Kitāb al-ʿAzama*.

The word *svarggai* appears a first time in the beginning of line 5, possibly pertaining to the mention of the second heavenly river, *salsabīl*, in the preceding lacuna.

Possibly, the -i appearing before this at the beginning of the line is the rem-

aṅgāin śauā upaduvai, lit. 'creating Ḥawwā from this one's half body', Skt. ardhāṅga) as well as their sojourn in paradise (mi de mapirin svargga vaṣai 'lodging this [couple consisting of] mother-and-husband in heaven'). The text continues in the first line of the recto of plate "D" (~ "3", cf. Figs. 4–5) with the children born from "Adam the Prophet's" sperm drop (ādamu petāmbarun suktrabīdain, Skt. śukrabindu) out of the womb of Eve (ṣauā baḍun upan genīn dari ve), these children constituting mankind on earth (dunie mīsun ve vī). It further relates that after Adam, who is regarded as the first prophet (ādamu petāmbarun ādi koṭu paṭai 'starting with Adam the Prophet making the beginning'), 124,000 other prophets (eklakka ṣauvīs cāśra petāmbarun) were created and died again (upede nivana gat pase, Skt. nirvāṇa) before Muḥammad the Prophet was born (upan mahammadu petāmbarun).

2.3 The Prophet's revelation, his journey to Jerusalem, the \min 'rag and the destruction of the idols

Immediately after mentioning Muḥammad for the first time, the text relates his revelation by the archangel, Gabriel, which took place in his fourtieth year (mahammadu petāmbarun ṣāļīs āvurodun mi petāmbarun kraṭa dabarīlú ais buṇe gos 'in the fourtieth year of Muḥammad the Prophet, Gabriel having come to this Prophet, having talked [to him] and having gone away [again]'). Without any further dating, the text proceeds with Muḥammad's being taken by Gabriel and Michael to Jerusalem on a steed named Burāq (mi dabarailāi mīkailai mi de malāikatun peṇe burāk eviana asu puṭe mahammadu petāmbarun aruvai baitalu magadeṣaṭa gene gosu 'this Gabriel and Michael, these two angels, having appeared, having caused Muḥammad the Prophet to mount the back of a horse named Burāq, having taken him to Bait-al Maqdis') and with the mi'rāǧ proper, i.e., Muḥammad's ascension to heaven on a ladder and his meeting first all angels, then God himself residing in his mandala:

de pia ran risi sarak pute petāmbarun aruvai gene

having caused him to mount upon a ladder⁴⁰ (consisting) of two wings (made) from gold (and) silver,

mārādaṭa gamani ve negī misatuḍu matī t^hibi tak malāikatun deke ṣalāmu dī bune

(he, Muhammad), having seen all the angels being on that peak (misatudu

nant of the absolutive upadavai 'creating' as appearing further below.

⁴⁰ It remains unclear whether *sarak* really means 'ladder'.

?), which was built like a residence (gamani?) for a Mahārāja, and having talked to them saying salām,

mi ettre tibi tak śevu nu sevu deke

having seen all happy and unhappy (beings) being inside there,

devatāinge veḍe in maṇḍaḷa mi puṭe aneka navaratnain seduṇu sat kaḍattrain ek kadattraak māttra tibai vede

having entered upon this (ladder) the maṇḍala where God resides, which is made from another nine jewels, having the measure of seven kadattras by one kadattra, 41

d^hevatāinge bunī subaganda bas asai

having listened to the agreeably-scenting (Skt. śubhagandha) words spoken by God (who),

ṣādat kiai musilimān ve ekmasu roda sipai pas namādu koṭu vuņu mahammadu petāmbarunge darivarun svaṛgga vaṣam evie

by saying, "those children of Muḥammad the Prophet who have become Muslims by proclaiming profession (Arab. šādat), keeping the fast (Pers. rōza) of one month (and) performing the five prayers (Pers. namāz) I will lodge in heaven",

svargga ļoka mahammadu petāmbarun dakvai

revealed heaven to Muhammad the Prophet (and who),

ṣādat nu kkai musilimān nu ve vuļe kāprun budu paļļi nagai budu ṣadai mi budu devatāina ve sitai vede gene kūpurukamu koṭu rāsikaru boi devatāi timan svāmīn bavu nu dene vuņu timan upeduvī aļun naraka vasam evie

by saying, "those servants created by myself who have remained infidel by not proclaiming confession (and) not becoming Muslims (and) who do not believe in God being their own lord, performing infidelity in building Buddhist temples, forming Buddha (statues) and persisting in the belief that this Buddha is a deity (and) by drinking palm wine, (those) I will lodge in hell,"

mi petāmbarun naraka {va}su dakvai

revealed the residents of hell to this Prophet,

petāmbārun adamut-ul atbāi lī side

when (after all this) the Prophet had written down the grandeur of (the one consisting of) eight parts,⁴²

 $^{^{\}rm 41}$ $\,$ The provenance and exact meaning of the measure term remains unclear.

It is extremely unclear what is meant by this, the interpretation given here being tentative. For aṭbāi cf. the term athabhagiya occurring (in relation to the Buddha's relics) in the Aśoka inscription of Lumbini (cf. Falk 2012, 204–16; adamut- is taken to represent Arab. 'aẓamūt 'power, majesty, grandeur' as further above.

(His Majesty, Gaganāditya the Mahārāja,)

mi mahammadu petāmbarun buṇī apūṛbbha asai

having listened to the unparalleled (words) spoken by him, Muḥammad the Prophet, (having heard about)

mi petāmbarun kiaman gene

this prophet having gained resurrection (Arab. qiyāmat),

ekadevatāin tedu bavu dene musilimān vī timange ummatnāi gene

having taken with him his own community who had become Muslims by acknowledging that the One God is a true being,

kāpurun makā miskit vesī lātu udhāt evyana mi de budu bide

having destroyed the two idols named Lāt and 'Uzzāt lodged at the infidels' mosque at Makkā,

petāmbarun devatāinaţa gat birun mi⁴³ aşai

having heard about (all) these⁴³ (deeds committed) by the Prophet with fear of (lit. gained towards) God,

mahumūdu rasuge devatainaṭa gat birun manāt eviyana budu binnamus asai having heard about the destruction of the idol named Manāt (committed) by King Mahmūd with fear of (lit. gained towards) God,

śrī gag^hanādītya māras

His Majesty, Gaganāditya the Mahārāja,

timās mi de darun pene nama devatāinata biru ganvai

gaining fear towards God as if these two children⁴⁴ had appeared to himself.

timan ladu kela aḍḍuvu me mede tibī tak raṭu pūrbb h e kāpuru rasun keruvī verumāna b h udu paļļi vairoṣanā bide ...

by destroying on all islands hold (lit. received) by himself that are between Kela and $A\dot{q}\dot{q}^{45}$ the (Buddhist) monasteries founded (lit. made) by the former infidel kings, the Buddha temples (and) the Vairocana (statues) ...

From this position on, the text continues in quite a similar way as that of the two other *lōmāfānus* issued by Gaganāditya (cf. 1.1.3 above), mentioning the preparatory work necessary for the foundation of a mosque on Gamu Island (including the appointment of ten "wise regents", dasa vidiṣa lokapālavarun), and the further circumstances of his waqf endowment.

The northernmost and southernmost islands of the Maldives, in Gaganāditya's times as today.

⁴³ Reading very uncertain.

⁴⁴ Cf. 3. below.

3. Conclusion

It will be obvious from this preliminary treatise that the account of the <code>mi'rāǧ</code> proper plays but a minor rôle in the given context, providing a vindicative background for Gaganāditya's campaign against Buddhist monasteries and idols. This is especially true for God's statements on believers and unbelievers it cites, which are adapted by Gaganāditya for his purposes in "proclaiming, 'I will kill the infidels that do not enter the family of the community of Muḥammad the Prophet'" (<code>mahammadu petāmbarunge ummatun kula aṭa nu van kāpurun maram evie vidārai; T1, l. 4</code>). Another remarkable analogy approaching Gaganāditya to the Prophet is the explicit reference to Muḥammad's encounter with the two archangels, Gabriel and Michael, which Gaganāditya imagines as a miracle for himself (with the curious substitution of "angels" by "children, boys" or, rather, "young men", <code>darun</code>).

It may be surprising that the $l\bar{o}m\bar{a}f\bar{a}nu$, in addition to the "mythical" allusions concerning the founder of Islam and his life, also mentions a certain "King Mahmūd", in connection with the destruction of the third of the "Arab idols", Manāt. It is obvious that the text here refers to the raid by Sultan Mahmūd of Ġazna of the Hindu temple at Somanātha, which was identified with the pre-Islamic shrine of the Arabian peninsula in popular belief (via a reinterpretation of its name as representing a compound su- $man\bar{a}t$). ⁴⁶ The Maldivian copper plate grant may be taken to be one of the earliest witnesses of this Indian legend.

References

Bell, Harry Charles Purvis (1922–35): Excerpta Maldiviana 1–14. In: *Journal of the Ceylon Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society*, 29 (75)-33(88).

Bell, Harry C[Harles] P[Urvis] (1940): *The Máldive Islands: Monograph on the History, Archæology, and Epigraphy*, Colombo. [repr. Māle 1985]

Bosworth, Clifford Edmund (1997/2013): Sūmanāt. In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, Second Edition, Online Edition, available at http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam -2/sumanat-SIM_7185 [Printed version: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, Second Edition, vol IX, 868b-869a]

⁴⁶ Cf. Bosworth's 1997/2013 article on "Sūmanāt", according to which the raid took place in AD 1015/16; other sources indicate AD 1025/26 (cf. e.g. T.W. HAIG 1934/2013). Cf. T. FAHD 1991/2013, as to Manāt proper.

- FAHD, TOUFIC (1986/2013): al-Lāt. In: Encyclopaedia of Islam, Second Edition, Online edition, available at
 - http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam -2/al-lat-SIM_4647 [Printed version: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, Second Edition, vol V, 692a-693a]
- FAHD, T. (1991/2013): Manāt. In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam,* Second Edition, Online edition, available at
 - http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam -2/manat-SIM_4909
 - [Printed version: *Encyclopaedia of Islam,* Second Edition, vol VI, 373a-374a]
- FALK, HARRY (2012): The Fate of Ashoka's Donations at Lumbini. In: PATRICK OLIVELLE/JANICE LEOSHKO/HIMANSHU PRABHA RAY (eds.), Reimagining Ashoka Memory and History, New Delhi, 204–216.
- FRITZ, SONJA (2002): The Dhivehi Language. A Descriptive and Historical Grammar of Maldivian and Its Dialects. Vol. II. Materials, Würzburg.
- FRITZ SONJA/JOST GIPPERT (2000): Towards a Historical Phonology of Maldivian. In: MICHAELA OFITSCH/CHRISTIAN ZINKO (eds.), 125 Jahre Indogermanistik in Graz, Graz, 139–152.
- GIPPERT, JOST (2003): Early New Persian as a Medium of Spreading Islam. In: LUDWIG PAUL (ed.), Persian Origins Early Judaeo-Persian and the Emergence of New Persian. Collected Papers of the Symposium, Göttingen 1999, Wiesbaden, 31–47.
- GIPPERT, JOST (2004): A Glimpse into the Buddhist Past of The Maldives. I.: An Early Prakrit Inscription. In: Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens 48, 81–109.
- GIPPERT, JOST (2004): Schriftgebrauch zwischen Kontinuität und Wandel. Zur Wechselwirkung zwischen Sprachgeschichte und Schriftlichkeit. In: *Die Sprache* 44(2), 173–194.
- GIPPERT JOST (forthcoming): A Glimpse into the Buddhist Past of The Maldives. II.: Two Sanskrit Inscriptions. To appear in: Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens (55).
- GIPPERT, JOST (2013): An outline of the history of Maldivian writing. In: SHU-FEN CHEN/BENJAMIN SLADE (eds.), Grammatica et verba / Glamour and verve: Studies in South Asian, Historical, and Indo-European Linguistics. A Festschrift in Honor of Professor Hans Henrich Hock on the Occasion of His 75th Birthday, Ann Arbor / Mich. 2013, 81-98.

- HAIG, SIR THOMAS WOLSELEY (1934): Maḥmūd. In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, First Edition, Vol. 3, 133-147. Online edition, available at http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam -1/mahmud-SIM 4447
- KINBERG, LEAH (2013): Paradise. In: JANE DAMMEN MCAULIFFE (ed.) *Encyclopaedia of the Qur'ān*, Washington DC. Online edition, available at http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-the-quran/paradise-COM_00143
- LANGE, CHRISTIAN (2010/2013): Hell (Jahannam, nār, saʿīr, saqar, Zaqqūm). In: GUDRUN KRÄMER/DENIS MATRINGE/JOHN NAWAS/EVERETT ROWSON (eds.), *Encyclopaedia of Islam, THREE*, Online edition, available at http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam -3/hell-jahannam-nar-sair-saqar-zaqqum-COM_23502 [Printed version: *Encyclopaedia of Islam Three* 2010/2, pp. 142-148]
- Loamaafaanu: Transliteration, Translation and Notes on Palaeography (1982): Vol. 1. Māle. [National Centre for Linguistic and Historical Research]
- MACDONALD MICHAEL C. A./LAILA NEHMÉ (2000/2013): "al-ʿUzzā." In: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, Second Edition. Online edition, available at http://referenceworks.brillonline.com/entries/encyclopaedia-of-islam -2/al-uzza-SIM_7789 [Printed version: *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, Second Edition, vol. X, 967b-968a]
- MANIKU, H.A./G.D. WIJAYAWARDHANA (1986): Isdhoo Loamaafaanu, Colombo.
- MONIER-WILLIAMS, MONIER (1899 [1951]): A Sanskrit-English Dictionary, Oxford.
- RAVEN, WIM (1993): A Kitāb al-ʿAzama: On Cosmology, Hell and Paradise. In: FRANS DE JONG (ed.), Miscellanea Arabica et Islamica. Dissertationes in Academia Ultrajectina prolatae anno MCMXC, Leuven, (Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 52), 135–42.
- Scherberger, Max (2003): Das Miˈrāǧnāme. Die Himmel- und Höllenfahrt des Propheten Muḥammad in der osttürkischen Überlieferung, Würzburg.
- SÉGUY, MARIE-ROSE (1977): Muḥammads wunderbare Reise durch Himmel und Hölle, München.
- YAJIMA, HIKOICHI (ed.) (1982): Ḥasan Tāj al-Dīn's The Islamic History of The Maldive Islands. With supplementary chapters by Минаммар Минівв-аl-Dīn/Івканім Siraj-al-Dīn. Volume one. Arabic texts, Tokyo (Studia Culturae Islamicae 16).

YAJIMA, HIKOICHI (ed.) (1984): Ḥasan Tāj al-Dīn's The Islamic History of The Maldive Islands. With supplementary chapters by Минаммар Минівв-аl-Dīn/Івканім Sirāj-al-Dīn. Volume two. Annotations and Indices, Tokyo (Studia Culturae Islamicae 22).

Figures



Fig. 1: The "Isdū lōmāfānu" (copper plate grant L2)



Fig. 2: The "Gamu lōmāfānu" (copper plate grant L1), pl. F = 2 recto



Fig. 3: id., pl. F = 2 verso with plate number indicated in the upper left corner



Fig. 4: id., pl. D = 3 recto



Fig. 5: id., pl. D = 3 verso



Fig. 6: id., pl. T = 4 recto